New Understanding of Photoelectron Diffraction: Theory

Jan Minar^{1, a)}, Trung-Phuc Vo^{1, 2}, Olena Tkach^{3, 4}, Aki Pulkkinen¹, Didier Sebilleau⁵, Aimo Winkelmann⁶, Olena Fedchenko³, Yaryna Lytvynenko^{3,7}, Dmitry Vasilyev³, Hans-Joachim Elmers³, and Gerd Schönhense³

> ¹New Technologies-Research Centre University of West Bohemia, 30100 Pilsen, Czech Republic. ²Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences Cukrovarnicka 10, 162 00 Praha6, Czech Republic. ³Johannes Gutenberg-Universitat Institut fur Physik, 55128 Mainz, Germany. ⁴Sumy State University Rymskogo-Korsakova 2, 40007 Sumy, Ukraine. ⁵University of Rennes CNRS, IPR (Institut de Physique de Rennes) - UMR 6251, F-35000, Rennes, France. ⁶AGH University of Krakow Academic Centre for Materials and Nanotechnology, Krakow, Poland. ⁷Institute of Magnetism of the NAS of Ukraine and MES of Ukraine 03142 Kyiv, Ukraine.

> > a) Corresponding author: jminar@ntc.zcu.cz

Abstract. Photoelectron diffraction (PED) is a powerful and indispensable experimental technique for resolving the structure of surfaces with sub-angstrom resolution. In many instances, it provides structural insights related to element specificity [1], which is essential for understanding phenomena related to electronic properties. In the high-energy regime, angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) often reveals PED effects, accompanied by challenges such as small cross-sections, significant photon momentum transfer, and non-negligible phonon scattering [2]. Overall, X-ray PED (XPD) is not only an advantageous approach but also exhibits unexpected effects. Powerful computational approaches that address single and multiple scattering in real space and reciprocal space have been developed earlier. However, they do share limitations related to angular momentum, cluster size and constrain in kinetic energy regimes. To overcome these barriers and disentangle diffraction effects, we present a PED implementation [3] for the SPRKKR package that uses multiple scattering theory and a one-step model [4,5]. in the photoemission process. In contrast to real-space implementations of the multiple scattering XPD formalism, we propose a k-space implementation based on the layer KKR method. The main advantage of this method is its ability to address a very broad kinetic energy range (20-8000 eV) without convergence problems related to. The inelastic scattering is investigated as a broadening mechanism. Furthermore, the so-called alloy analogy model can be used to simulate XPD at finite temperatures as well as XPD effects observed in soft and hard X-ray ARPES. Here, the brief summary of theoretical models and practical applications [6,7] for core-level photoemission is addressed.

[1] O. Tkach et al., Ultramicroscopy 250, 113750 (2023).

- [2] S. Babenkov et al., Commun. Phys. 2, 1-8 (2019).
- [3] T.-P. Vo et al., arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.09669 (2024).

[4] H. Ebert et al., Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 96501 (2011); H. Ebert et al., The Munich SPR-KKR Package, version 8.5, http:

//olymp.cup.uni-muenchen.de/ak/ebert/SPRKKR (2017).

[5] J. Braun et al., Phys. Rep. 740, 1 (2018).

[6] T.-P. Vo et al., AIP Conference Proceedings, Vol. 3251. No. 1, (2024).

[7] T.-P. Vo et al., arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.14758 (2025).